

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,620	01/06/2004	Manish Upendran	158588-0008	5967	
1622 75	90 03/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP			FISCHER, ANDREW J		
840 NEWPORT SUITE 400	CENTER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ACH, CA 92660		3627		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/200	ς.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/752,620 UPENDRAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
	Andrew J	. Fischer	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no exn. a reply within the staeriod will apply and witatute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Qu	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is requir	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docum							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the cert	fied copies not receive	ed.				
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	450)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08)	5) Notice of Informal P6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	e Action Summa	r y Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Dat	te 03152005			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a system, classified in class 705, subclass 21.
 - II. Claims14-26, drawn to a method, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
- III. Claims 27-39, drawn to a computer program, classified in class 717, subclass 106.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—one that does would not perform the steps of selecting from among a plurality of purchase options.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Art Unit: 3627

claimed because the combination does not require computer program code to consummate a purchase transaction. The subcombination has separate utility such as code to finalize transactions.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus—one that uses devices (e.g. a card reader, printer, etc) other than computer code to consummate a transaction.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3627

Election of Species

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Species A: Represented by Figure 5B; and

Species B: Represented by Figure 5C.

9. In addition to choosing an invention from above (i.e. Invention I, II, or III), Applicants

are also required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the

merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

10. Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of

both a chosen invention and identification of the species that is elected consonant with this

requirement. With respect to the identification of the species that is elected, Applicants must

also include a listing of all claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added either in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive

unless accompanied by an election.

11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141. If claims are added

after the election, Applicants must indicate which claims are readable upon the elected species.

See MPEP §809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/752,620

Art Unit: 3627

12. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,
Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species
to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the
Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission
may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

- 13. A telephone call was made to Kimberley G. Nobles on or about March 9, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 14. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).
- 15. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olszewski Robert can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 3627

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew J. Fischer Primary Examiner

10 Fischer 3/15/05

Art Unit 3627